

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,353	12/18/2001	Victor I. Deonarine	ITW7510.008 3939		
33647 ZIOLKOWSK	7590 10/01/200 I PATENT SOLUTION	EXAMINER			
136 S WISCO	NSIN ST	JAGAN, MIRELLYS			
PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@zpspatents.com rlt@zpspatents.com klb@zpspatents.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/683,353	DEONARINE, VICTOR I.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mirellys Jagan	2859	

		2000					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	•				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-15,25,28 and 29</u> .		•					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-20,25,26 and 29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hefore or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will no	t he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:		an/					
		N 8					
		ego Gutierrez	r				

Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claim 25 over Omega and Kossnar, and the rejection of claims 16, 19, 20 over Kossnar.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that that OMEGA fails to disclose an assembly capable of indicating at least two temperatures, as called for in claim 16, are not persuasive since the manner in which the assembly is to be used is not a structural limitation of the assembly claimed in claim 16. Although the OMEGA assembly is used in a different manner than the Applicant's assembly to indicate temperature, the assembly of OMEGA is capable of measuring temperature, and therefore meets all of the claimed structural limitations of claim 16, as stated in the rejections.